IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 7238 of 1996

Between:

1.Dr. P.Vamanrao s/o. Papanna Maharaj
R/o. 2-1-513/8/a, Old Nallakunta, Hyderabad.
2.Dr. I. Raghunatham s/o. I. Bhoomaiah
R/o. 2-1-513/8/a, Old Nallakunta, Hyderabad.
3.K. Ramalingeswararao s/o. Late Bharravanandam
R/o. 2-1-513/8/a, Old Nallakunta, Hyderabad.
4.P. Narayanrao s/o. late Ramalingam
R/o. 2-1-513/8/a, Old Nallakunta, Hyderabad.
5.Dr. T. Krishnareddy s/o. late Mallareddy
R/o. 2-1-513/8/a, Old Nallakunta, Hyderabad.
6.R. Subbarao s/o. late R.P.Seshaiah
R/o. 2-1-513/8/a, Old Nallakunta, Hyderabad.
7.Ahmed Ali s/o. Khaja Mohiuddin
R/o. 2-1-513/8/a, Old Nallakunta, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 Govt. of A.P. rep. by its Secretary, Animal Husbandry & Fisheries Dept., Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
2 A.P.Meat & Poultry Development Corporation, rep. by its Vice-chairman

and Managing Director, Shanthinagar, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, particularly a writ in the nature of

Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in not paying the Dearness Relief at the enhanced rate right from July, 1993 as being illegal, arbitrary and violative of Arts. 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to pay the enhanced Dearness Relief to the Petitioners at the rate and with effect from the dated as prescribed by the Government to its Pensioners with arrears.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.A.RAVINDER REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR ANIMAL HUSBANDARY

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

It is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the subject matter of this writ petition has become infructuous.

Recording the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

29.11.2004

VGB

To

1 The Secretary, Govt. of A.P., Animal Husbandry & Fisheries Dept.,

Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.

2 The Vice-chairman and Managing Director, A.P.Meat & Poultry

Development Corporation, Shanthinagar, Hyderabad.

3 2CCs to the Government Pleader for Animal Husbandry, High Court

Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

4 2CD copies